

*Abstract-ID:* 2398

*Title of the paper:* **THE DISTANCE-TIME RELATIONSHIP AND OXYGEN UPTAKE KINETICS IN SWIMMING**

*Authors:* Almeida, T.1, Espada, M.1,2, Reis, J.1, Vleck, V.1, Bruno, P.1, Alves, F.1

*Institution:* (1) Faculty of Human Kinetics - University of Lisbon / (2) Polytechnic Institute of Setubal

*Department:* CIPER - Interdisciplinary Center for the Study of Human Performance

*Country:* Portugal

### Introduction

Critical velocity (CV) calculated as the slope of the distance–time (d–t) relationship, represents an important parameter of aerobic function. The y-intercept derived from this relationship is defined as a finite stock of reserve power available pre-exercise, usually termed anaerobic work capacity or D', and associated to the distance that can be completed resorting to anaerobic metabolism (Jones et al. 2010). Athletes with a relatively high anaerobic capacity will tend to have slower oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>) kinetics than long-distance specialists (Jones & Burnley, 2009). The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between CV, D' and VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics in swimming.

### Methods

Ten trained competitive male swimmers performed maximal 200 and 400 m front crawl swims (S200, S400). CV was calculated as the slope of distance-time relationship (Sd–t) from these maximal trials. D' resulted from the linear coefficient (y-intercept) of the d–t model. 50 m competitive front crawl swimming performance was recorded for analysis (S50). Maximal aerobic velocity (MAV) was estimated from mean swimming velocity of the 400 m. The maximal oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>max) was determined through an incremental step test comprising 5 x 250 and 1 x 200-m stages and VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics parameters were determined from two 500 m constant intensity swimming exercise bouts, at 87.5% and 92.5% of MAV. Both the incremental and the 500-m tests were performed using aquatrainer swimming snorkel® for breath-by-breath data collection, (K4b2, Cosmed, Italy).

### Results

CV ( $1.41 \pm 0.06$  m.s<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly lower than MAV ( $1.45 \pm 0.04$  m.s<sup>-1</sup>). VO<sub>2</sub>max ( $3806.2 \pm 462.9$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup>) was not significantly different from VO<sub>2</sub> at 92.5 % MAV ( $3695.9 \pm 385.9$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup>). CV was negatively correlated to the time constant of the primary phase (taup) at 87.5% MAV ( $19.5 \pm 8.9$ -sec) and 92.5% MAV ( $17.4 \pm 6.7$ -sec)

(respectively  $r = -0.72$  and  $-0.64$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). The amplitude of the primary phase (Ap) at 87.5% MAV ( $3090.4 \pm 456.8$  ml.min<sup>-1</sup>) was negatively correlated to S50 ( $26.8 \pm 0.9$ -sec) ( $r = -0.66$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). D' ( $19.9 \pm 7.0$  m) presented no correlations to VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics parameters but was negatively correlated to S50 ( $r = -0.67$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ).

#### Discussion

Our results are in line with those of Reis et al. (2012), which support the notion that the primary phase of VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics is an important determinant of aerobic swimming performance. The relation between CV and VO<sub>2</sub> kinetics parameter highlights the pertinence of VO<sub>2</sub> data collection in swimming for physiological profiling and training optimization.

#### References

- Jones, A.M., Vanhatalo, A., Burnley, M., Morton, R.H., Poole, D.C. (2010). Med Sci Sports Exerc; 42(10): 1876-90.  
Jones, A.M. & Burnley, M. (2009). Int J Sports Physiol Perform; 4(4): 524-32.  
Reis, J.F., Alves, F.B., Bruno, P.M., Vleck, V., Millet, G.P. (2012). J Sci Med Sport; 15(1): 58-63.

*Topic:* Training and Testing  
*Keyword I:* Oxygen Uptake Kinetics  
*Keyword II:* Critical Velocity  
*Keyword III:* Swimming